

Public consultation on a proposed revised *Scope of practice registration standard and Guidelines for scope of practice*

September 2018

Australian Dental Association template submissions with no examples

The Dental Board of Australia's (the Board) public consultation paper on a proposed revised *Scope of practice registration standard and Guidelines for scope of practice* opened for submissions on 22 March 2018 and closed on 14 May 2018.

The following submissions were based on template letters which were broadly similar to the sample provided at attachment A and did not include any examples.

List of Submissions

Abouelenein, Mahmoud	Cuffe, Tristan	Ho, Ly
Ajaje, Phillip	Currie, Sean	Hoang, Jennifer
Alawie, Mohamed	Cvekus, Oliver and Harley, Tirza	Hooper, Joe
Amarapala, H	Dai, Anna	Jancek, Nicole
Anonymous	Davies, Ian	Kah, Kim
Arndt, Laura	De Luchi, Jacob	Kalamaras, Ileana
Aurelio, Roberto	Dhaliwal, Amaninder	Karl, Belinda
Azubel, Rodrigo	Do, Linh	Kenny, John
Baek, Yeseul	Doan, Laurence	Kerl, Belinda
Bailey, Michael	Dreyer, Declan	Kim, Berna
Ball, Jenny	Dubey, Mohit	Kim, Carol
Barrett, Antony	Duong, Hung	Knurova, Olga
Barua, itesh	Erbacher, Michael	Lalla, Yashoma
Bhandare, Rajeev	Farrington, David	Lam, Brendan
Borland, John	Faulkner, David	le Roux, Frederick
Boundy, Carl	Feng, Boxi	Lee, Young
Briggs, Damian	Fernando, Phoebe	Leggat, Alex
Cartas, Leonida	Filho, Wilson	Li, Tom
Cawood, Jacques	Gandhi, Shagun	Liang, Ming
Cemiloglu, Gulnaz	Gibbs, Susan	Lim, Christopher
Chan, Winnie	Graham, David	Lin, Jie
Chapman, Robert	Groves, Miriam	Lipworth, Kenneth
Charalambou, Ciaran	Guirguis, Jacob	Liu, Steven
Chauhan, Ranjan	Habibi, Mehdi	Maher, Christopher
Chee, Ivan	Hains, Derek	Maltby, Mark
Chung, Melissa	Han, Ting-Ting	Manisha
Chye, Leila	Heitkonig, David	Mario Cordioli
Collins, Kate		Marks, Ronny

McConnell, David	Williams, Danielle
Mehlawat, Sonam	Wong, Keith
Mendes, Gisely	Yap, Daniel
Messmer, Iris	Yap, Yiling
Miller, Deborah	Yong, Kelly
Moutos, Dimitrios	Yong, Tom
Najuik, Scott	Zamani, Leila
Nguyen, Quang	Zaslavsky, Boris
Nguyen, Susan	
Nguyen, Thuy	
Nguyen, Tran	
Ntoumenopoulos, James	
O'Shannessy, Keiran	
Oberoi, Amrinder	
Oh, Dayea	
Pathania, Sudhanshu	
Pilgrim, David	
Platon, Raymond	
Porter, Yvette	
Power, Doori Lee	
Rajpal, Rajiv	
Rammo, Abir	
Randhawa, Prabhjot	
Ratner, Ruth	
Roberts, Valence	
Rose, Aiden	
Rowda, Charlie	
Rupasinghe, Hashan	
Saeed, Abanob	
Schrader, Michael	
Shabani, Mitra	
Sipos, Peter	
Stuart-Smith, James	
Sukai, Dharini	
Sukumar, Suma	
Sweeney, Frank	
Tabesh, Raena	
Tadros, Dalya	
Taljaard, Lauren	
Tan, Gina	
Tee, Simon	
Tendler, Norman	
Tran, Elayne	
Truong, Nhi	
Tseng, Linda	
Tseng, Sam	
Wainwright, Rebecca	
Wells, Robert	
Wijayasena, Chamika	

Dr John Lockwood
Chairman, Dental Board of Australia

Re: Scope of Practice Public Consultation

I write to express deep concern over the Dental Board's proposed changes to the Scope of Practice Registration Standard. In my view, the proposed changes pose a significant risk to patients and undermine the foundations of the dental team.

Further, it is clear to me that the proposed changes have been considered in isolation and neglect to consider the broader context. Any changes to Scope of practice must also consider the legal limits to practice and the minimum competency set of all dental practitioners.

I wholeheartedly support the positions of the Australian Dental Association in its submission and while I will not reiterate those points I have provided examples of real-world situations where had a structured professional relationship not been in place, there was a risk of adverse or unfavourable outcomes to a patient.

[Use this space to provide examples of how being in a structured professional relationship prevented an adverse outcome for a patient. Below are some examples of situations you might have encountered. You should feel free to write as many examples as you wish and alter the format or length of this submission to best reflect your own views.]

- Where you doubted the ability of an allied dental practitioner to assess their own competency when they planned to perform a treatment and you had to intervene.
- Where an allied dental practitioner sought your professional input prior to treatment to ensure a positive outcome and minimise risk to the patient.
- Where an allied dental practitioner missed something critical and you had to intervene to ensure best outcomes for the patient.
- Where an allied dental practitioner has performed treatment you considered inappropriate or beyond their scope and had to intervene or re-do work.
- Where an allied dental practitioner was over or under conservative in their treatment approach and you had to adjust a treatment plan, intervene, or redo work.

I trust that these examples demonstrate a clear case for retention of the structured professional relationship and retain the requirements of 'independent practitioner'. This will ensure that the best, quality dental care is provided safely to the Australian public. I strongly support maintaining the status quo (Option One) as laid out in the consultation.

Regards,